



## Submission Types for ISDH 2022

Abstracts can be submitted under three different submission types; New Research, Systematic Review or Innovations in research methodology, education or clinical practice. Failure to adhere to the guidelines for the submission type may result in your abstract being rejected.

To ensure a fair and scientific review of abstracts we will use a blind review process. Abstracts will be reviewed under each of the elements indicated below as well as for the level of innovation/contribution to the field and the general standard of academic writing of the abstract.

Each abstract must be no more than 400 words and contain the following elements:

<b>New Research</b>	
Problem statement	Statement of the problem and study significance are provided.
Purpose	Study purpose is clearly defined with objectives.
Methods	Methodology (design, sampling and intervention) is outlined and appropriate for the study.
Results	Results including data analysis (or plan for analysis) are included.
Conclusion	Conclusions (or preliminary findings) are supported by the results and stated clearly.
IRB approval	IRB approval is required for all studies involving human subjects or animals. Provide the name of the entity approving the research and the approval number.
Studies in progress	Studies in-progress at the time of submission must include a detailed plan for statistical analysis and preliminary findings. Final results must be available for the Symposium.

<b>Systematic Review</b>	
Objective	A clearly formulated question is included.
Search Strategy/Selection Criteria	Explicit methods for how studies were identified, selected and critically appraised. Abstract should clearly identify the use of a valid quality assessment tool appropriate for the type of review conducted.
Data Collection and Analysis	Methods used to collect and analyze data from the studies that are included in the review.
Main Results	Number and type of studies included and summary of results.
Conclusion	Brief highlight of findings, type of evidence and recommendations.
Free of bias	The review process is comprehensive.

<b>Innovations in research methodology, education or clinical practice</b>	
Problem statement	Why was the innovation necessary?
Purpose/Goals/Objectives	Purpose/goals/objectives are clearly defined.
Key Features	Description of the key features, structure or systems
Impact	The impact of the program is clearly reported
Conclusions	Conclusions and implications for the future